

APPENDIX 2

WESTBOURNE CONSERVATION AREA CHARACTER APPRAISAL AND MANAGEMENT PLAN (2022)

RESULT OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Between 22 March and 22 April 2022

No	Consultee / Resident name and address	Comment	Response	Action
1	Westbourne & Southbourne Joint Burial Committee	Fully support new extension, important to protect cemetery and its surroundings. New additions to landscape should be in keeping with history of cemetery	Agreed	No action required
2	David Hayes, Chantry Hall Westbourne	Didn't see much publicity for the consultation, although did find in local magazine. Very supportive of new appraisal document and addition of cemetery. Some comments in regards to specifics, suggested changes to text.	Some changes made, but several issues of emphasis not particularly divergence in fact, and these are retained as unchanged. For example, "disturbance from traffic is present much of the time, not just at rush hours." The appraisals broadly acknowledges this but identifies specific windows where it is busier.	Changes to text
3	Nigel Peake, Westbourne	Strong support for revised document, strategic document that captures essence of local area. Some wording tweaks suggested.	Agreed, suggested changes made.	Changes to text
4	Greening Westbourne	Supportive of the document, mention made of the priorities of Greening Westbourne.	Agreed	No action required
5	Richard Hitchcock, Westbourne Parish Council	Supportive of the document and proposed boundary changes. Several detailed suggestions made regarding the specifics of nature conservation and the status of various protective mechanisms mentioned within the document.	Clarification sought from Tom Day Environmental Co ordinator. Updates made to document in line with recommendations.	Changes to text

No	Consultee / Resident name and address	Comment	Response	Action
5	Richard Hitchcock, Westbourne Parish Council	Supportive of the document and proposed boundary changes. Several detailed suggestions made regarding the specifics of nature conservation and the status of various protective mechanisms mentioned within the document.	Clarification sought from Tom Day Environmental Co ordinator. Updates made to document in line with recommendations.	Changes to text
6	Neil Attewell Pioneer Minister Westbourne Meeting Place	Comment suggesting re naming of Westbourne Baptist Church to Westbourne Meeting Place. Other comments made endorsing the document.	The appraisal is generally concerned with identifying buildings as their historic function, rather than current use. For the purposes of conservation the building in question is more readily identifiable under its original description.	No changes proposed.
7	Roger Wilding, Westbourne History Group	Wide ranging comments suggesting nuanced changes to descriptions and historic references. New map suggested.	Adopting most of the suggested changes that can be verified and sit within the objectives of a concise document. Some suggestions not taken on board, for example the River Ems is known as such colloquially, it is not a river but is easily identifiable as such locally and thus serves the objectives of the appraisal more clearly. There are several examples similar to this.	Changes to text and change to map.
8	Westbourne Parish Council	The Parish Council agrees to support the proposals to expand Westbourne's Conservation Area to include Westbourne Cemetery as it would offer greater protection to the sanctity of the Cemetery and Chapel. The Parish Council offers its thanks to Chichester District Council for reviewing the Character Appraisal.	Agreed	No changes proposed.
9	Jude Whitehead	Comments supporting the use of UPVC and opening up of front boundary walls for parking within the Conservation Area. General comments on heritage moving with the times and the use of double glazing within listed buildings.	UPVC is a poor quality framing material that causes clear visual harm to historic buildings and areas, better quality alternatives are available. The removal of historic walls to assist parking would also cause harm to the character of the conservation area. The recommendations should remain as they are on this basis.	No changes proposed.
10	Alan Stokes	Supportive general comments.	Agreed	No changes proposed.

No	Consultee / Resident name and address	Comment	Response	Action
11	Martin Stern	Comment made regarding rewilding as soon as possible before it is too late.	The appraisal is supportive of natural conservation and identifies key green spaces. The Cemetery is an important area of green space that will be added to the conservation area.	No changes proposed.
12	Roy Briscoe, Local member for Westbourne	Strongly in favour of the revised appraisal and extension. Comments made regarding the tranquility of the area around the cemetery, the use of Cemetery Lane by mourners and the local building materials and character of the cemetery as well the connect to local important wildlife.	The appraisal captures the importance of the points raised and gives the character and appearance of the cemetery a statutory level of protection that it did not have before.	No changes proposed.